arXiv:1704.05410v1 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 18 Apr 2017

Hydrodynamics of Quantum Vortices in Two Dimensions

Xiaoquan Yu* and Ashton S. Bradley†
Department of Physics, Centre for Quantum Science, and Dodd-Walls Centre for Photonic and Quantum Technologies, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand.
(Dated: April 19, 2017)

We show that in two dimensional superfluids a large number of quantum vortices with positive and negative circulations behave as an inviscid fluid on large scales. Two hydrodynamical velocities are introduced to describe this emergent binary vortex fluid, via vortex number current and vortex change current. The velocity field associated with the vortex number current evolves according to a hydrodynamic equation, subject to an anomalous stress absent from Euler's equation. In contrast to the chiral vortex fluid containing only like-sign vortices, the binary vortex fluid is compressible and the orbital angular momentum is not conserved, as characterized by an asymmetric Cauchy stress tensor. Dissipation effects due to thermal friction and vortex-sound interactions induce an effective damping rate, an anomalous viscous stress tensor, and a coefficient of second viscosity.

Introduction.— Quantum vortices play a key role not only in the two-dimensional (2D) superfluid transition [1, 2], but also in many out of equilibrium phenomena, including 2D turbulence in Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) [3–16], and phase ordering dynamics after a quench [17, 18]. The collective motion of many quantum vortices in a 2D superfluid involves a large range of spatial scales and many degrees of freedom, underpinning the complex dynamics of turbulence. Recent experimental advances now allow for highly controllable, plane-confined BECs [19, 20] and simultaneous detection of quantum vortex positions and circulations [21], enabling precisely controlled and well-characterised systems involving many interacting 2D quantum vortices in a BEC. For a low temperature superfluid in the hydrodynamic regime, the motion of vortices is much slower than the rate of sound propagation, and a system of many quantum vortices evolves as an almost isolated subsystem, a *vortex fluid*.

The point-vortex model, a central model for studying 2D classical incompressible turbulent flows [22–25], describes the dynamics of quantum vortices in planar superfluids [26, 27], provided the vortices are well-separated, and far from the fluid boundary. In this point-vortex regime the vortex core structure is unimportant, and the coupling to acoustic modes is relatively weak. Many studies of collective dynamics of vortices rely on large-scale numerical simulations of the discrete point vortex model [28–31]. Recent work of Wiegmann and Abanov [32] proposed a hydrodynamic description of well-separated like-sign vortices, providing a rigorous starting point for studying rotating fluids, vortex clusters [23, 33–36] with definite sign of vorticity [37, 38], and the connection between vortex fluids and the quantum Hall liquid [39]. A general 2D turbulent flow or a phase ordering process, however, involve a large number of vortices and anti-vortices, and a hydrodynamic theory of a binary vortex system is needed to describe the corresponding collective dynamics.

In this Letter, we consider a dense system consisting of vortices and anti-vortices with separation much larger than the vortex core size ξ. Such a vortex system can be treated as a fluid on a scale much larger than ξ, and smaller than the system size. We develop a hydrodynamic theory of this binary vortex system by generalizing a coarse-graining procedure proposed originally for the chiral vortex system [32]. This provides a general hydrodynamic formulation of the point-vortex model, allowing a lucid description of collective dynamics of 2D quantum vortices. The fluid picture reveals several novel emergent features of binary vortex systems at large scales: (i) vortex number current and charge current define distinct vortex velocity fields, (ii) the binary vortex fluid is compressible, supporting vortex density-wave excitations, (iii) the hydrodynamical equation involves an asymmetric Cauchy stress tensor containing an anomalous stress absent from the Euler fluid, (iv) the Hamiltonian of the vortex fluid is obtained by subtracting the self-energy of vortices from the fluid kinetic energy, and (v) treating dissipation effects due to vortex-sound coupling and thermal friction within the dissipative theory of BECs, we identify an effective damping rate, coefficients of viscosity, and anomalous viscous stress tensor of a dissipative vortex fluid. Hydrodynamics for the chiral vortex flow [32] is recovered as a special case of the binary vortex fluid.

Two-Dimensional Hydrodynamics.— The dynamics of non-viscous incompressible classical fluids in 2D is described by the Euler equation

$$\mathcal{D}_t^u \mathbf{u} = -\frac{1}{nm}\nabla p, \quad \nabla\cdot\mathbf{u} = 0, \tag{1}$$

where n is the constant number density, $\mathbf{u}$ is the fluid velocity, $\mathcal{D}_t^u \equiv \partial_t + \mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla$ is the material (convective) derivative with respect to u, m is the atomic mass, and p is the fluid pressure and is determined by $\nabla\cdot(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}) = -(nm)^{-1}\nabla^2 p$. Taking the curl of Eq. (1), the Helmholtz equation for the vorticity $\omega \equiv \nabla\times\mathbf{u}$ is

$$\mathcal{D}_t^u \omega = 0. \tag{2}$$

The kinetic energy of the fluid reads [40]

$$H = \frac{nm}{2}\int d^2\mathbf{r}\,|\mathbf{u}|^2 = \frac{nm}{2}\int d^2\mathbf{r}\,\psi(\mathbf{r})\omega(\mathbf{r}), \tag{3}$$

where ψ is the stream function. The fluid velocity and the vorticity are related to the stream function via $\mathbf{u} = -\hat{\mathbf{z}}\times\nabla\psi = \nabla\times(\psi\hat{\mathbf{z}})$ and $-\nabla^2\psi = \omega$, where $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ is normal to the fluid plane.

For a 2D incompressible flow, it is convenient to use complex coordinates $z = x + iy$, $\partial_z = (\partial_x - i\partial_y)/2$ and the complex velocity $u = u_x - iu_y$. In terms of complex notation $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \partial_z \bar{u} + \partial_{\bar{z}} u$, $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla = \bar{u}\partial_z + u\partial_{\bar{z}}$, $\omega = \nabla \times \mathbf{u} = i(\partial_{\bar{z}} u - \partial_z \bar{u}) = 2i\partial_{\bar{z}} u$, and $u = 2i\partial_z \psi$. We also use subscripts a, b to denoted the Cartesian components of vectors, and use vector, complex, or component notation where convenient.

Point-Vortex System.— A superfluid containing vortices with separation larger than the core size ξ is nearly incompressible, away from vortex cores [41]. For a BEC described by a macroscopic wavefunction Ψ, the associated Gross-Pitaevskii equation (GPE) governing time evolution can be mapped to the form Eq. (1) in the incompressible regime (constant density $n = |\Psi|^2$) [42]. The single valuedness of the wave function Ψ requires that the circulation of a vortex excitation must be quantized in units of circulation quantum $\kappa \equiv 2\pi\hbar/m$, and the vorticity has a singularity at the position of the vortex core $\mathbf{r}_i$: $\omega(\mathbf{r}) = \kappa\sigma_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)$ with the sign $\sigma_i = \pm 1$. The resulting point-vortex model describes well-separated quantum vortices. For classical fluids, although the circulation is arbitrary and the vorticity is a smooth function, as a limiting case of the vortex method [43, 44], the point-vortex model well-approximates incompressible classical fluids [22] with κ determined by the injection scale. Hereafter we set $nm = 1$ for convenience.

We consider a system containing N_+ singly changed quantum vortices and N_- anti-vortices. The total number of vortices is $N = N_+ + N_-$ and the cores with sign σ_i are located at $\{\mathbf{r}_i\}$. The fluid velocity generated by these quantum vortices far from the fluid boundary is completely determined by the vorticity:

$$u = 2i\partial_z \psi = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int d^2\mathbf{r}' \frac{\omega(\mathbf{r}')}{z - z'} = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{i\gamma\sigma_j}{z - z_j}, \tag{4}$$

where the stream function of the fluid is $\psi(\mathbf{r}) = -\gamma \sum_i \sigma_i \log|(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)/\ell|$, and the vorticity $\omega(\mathbf{r}) = 2\pi\gamma \sum_i \sigma_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)$. Here ℓ is a scale introduced to ensure the correct dimension, and $\gamma = \kappa/2\pi$ is a convenient unit of circulation. As shown by Helmholtz, the velocity field (4) is a singular solution of Eq. (2).

A quantum vortex generates a flow in the bulk fluid, while the vortex core is a point-like particle and has its own dynamics driven by the flow generated by the other vortices. The dynamics of the vortex cores has a Hamiltonian structure:

$$\frac{dz_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i}, \qquad \frac{dp_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial z_i}, \tag{5}$$

with canonical momentum $p_i = i\pi\gamma\sigma_i \bar{z}_i$, and Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = -\pi\gamma^2 \sum_{i \neq j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \log\left|\frac{z_i - z_j}{\ell}\right|. \tag{6}$$

The formal solutions of Eq. (5) are the Kirchhoff equations [45]

$$\frac{d\bar{z}_i}{dt} = v_i, \quad v_i = -\sum_{j,j\neq i}^{N} \frac{i\gamma\sigma_j}{z_i(t) - z_j(t)}. \tag{7}$$

In terms of the degrees of freedom of point vortices, the kinetic energy of the fluid $H = \mathcal{H} + E_{\text{self}}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is energy of interaction between vortices, and $E_{\text{self}} = N\pi\gamma^2 \log(\ell/\xi)$ is the total self-energy; E_{self} depends on the core scale ξ and is formally divergent in the point-vortex approximation ($\xi \to 0$).

Vortex Fluid Hydrodynamics.— For large N, the emergent collective dynamics of the discrete vortex system Eq. (5) can be described by a few hydrodynamic variables. By coarse-graining microscopic vortex distributions over patches containing many vortices, we arrive at a hydrodynamic description. The core scale ξ is much smaller than the patch scale, and serves as a natural ultraviolet cut-off.

Conservation laws ensure the following continuity equations

$$\partial_t \rho = \sum_i \partial_t \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) = -\left(\partial_z \bar{J}_n + \partial_{\bar{z}} J_n\right) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_n, \tag{8}$$

$$\partial_t \sigma = \sum_i \sigma_i \partial_t \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) = -\left(\partial_z \bar{J}_c + \partial_{\bar{z}} J_c\right) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_c, \tag{9}$$

for vortex number density $\rho(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)$, vortex charge density $\sigma(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sum_i \sigma_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) = (2\pi\gamma)^{-1}\omega$, and

$$J_c = \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)(\sigma_i v_i), \quad J_n = \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) v_i \tag{10}$$

give the charge current and number current respectively. The continuous vortex charge velocity field w and vortex velocity field v are defined according to the hydrodynamic relations $J_c \equiv \rho w$ and $J_n \equiv \rho v$. This choice of density-weighted velocity fields is essential, avoiding the pathological velocity field where $\sigma = 0$ [46]. As the coordinates (x, y) of a vortex are conjugate variables, Eq. (8) is recognised as the Liouville equation of the point vortex system.

Using a generalized pole decomposition for the binary vortex system

$$2\sum_{i\neq j} \frac{\sigma_i}{z - z_i} \frac{\sigma_j}{z_i - z_j} = \left(\sum_i \frac{\sigma_i}{z - z_i}\right)^2 + \partial_z \sum_i \frac{1}{z - z_i}, \tag{11}$$

we obtain the important relation between the vortex charge velocity w, and the fluid velocity u, given by

$$\rho w = \sigma u - 2\eta i \partial_z \rho, \tag{12}$$

with anomalous kinetic coefficient $\eta = \gamma/4$; here we have used $\partial_{\bar{z}}(1/z) = \pi\delta(\mathbf{r})$ and $[\partial_z, \partial_{\bar{z}}](1/z) = 0$. The vortex flow is compressible, as in general $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w} = \bar{u}\partial_z(\sigma/\rho) + u\partial_{\bar{z}}(\sigma/\rho) \neq 0$. The fundamental relation linking the vortex velocity field v to the fluid velocity u can be derived via charge decomposition (see Supplementary Material):

$$\rho v = \rho u - 2i\eta \partial_z \sigma. \tag{13}$$

The relation Eq. (13) can be understood as a transformation from the superfluid velocity field that is irregular at a vortex core, to a vortex fluid velocity field that is *regular*. In other words, the velocity of a vortex at position $\mathbf{r}$ is the fluid velocity excluding the flow generated by the vortex itself at $\mathbf{r}$. The regularization involves subtracting the singular term, namely the pole at the vortex core. For a single vortex at the origin the superfluid velocity $u = -i\gamma\sigma_i/z$ and $2i\eta\partial_z\sigma/\rho = 4i\eta\sigma_i/z$, Eq. (13) yields $v = u - 2i\eta\partial_z\sigma/\rho = 0$. The correction cancels out the superfluid velocity field due to the local vortex, giving the physical result that a vortex does not move under the action of its own velocity field. Eq. (12) has a similar interpretation. The relation between w and v then reads

$$\rho w = \sigma v - i\eta\rho^{-1}\left(\partial_z\rho^2 - \partial_z\sigma^2\right). \tag{14}$$

The fact that the binary vortex flow is compressible can be also seen from

$$\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} = 2\eta i\left[\partial_z(\rho^{-1}\partial_{\bar z}\sigma) - \text{h.c.}\right] = -\eta\nabla\times(\rho^{-1}\nabla\sigma) \neq 0. \tag{15}$$

In the chiral limit ($\sigma = \rho$), $w = v$ and the vortex fluid becomes incompressible $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} = 0$ [32]. The chiral vortex fluid is rigid as the energy cost to compress a vortex fluid containing N vortices scales as N^2 due to repulsive interactions between like-sign vortices. For a binary vortex fluid, the presence of two opposite sign vortices softens the vortex fluid such that gapless excitations (vortex density waves) can occur, making the vortex fluid compressible.

The vorticity of the vortex velocity field v, $\omega_v \equiv i(\partial_{\bar z}v - \partial_z\bar v)$, has the anomalous correction

$$\omega_v - \omega = \nabla\times(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}) = \eta\nabla\cdot\left(\rho^{-1}\nabla\sigma\right). \tag{16}$$

Anomalous Euler Equation.— The vortex velocity field v obeys an Euler-like equation that we now seek. Using Eqs. (9), (14), (15), σ satisfies the vortex fluid Helmholtz equation

$$\mathcal{D}_t^v\sigma = 0, \tag{17}$$

and vortex charge is conserved, moving with the vortex velocity; use of the relation Eq. (13) shows consistency with Helmholtz' equation for the fluid, Eq. (2). Combining Eqs. (1), (8), (9), (12), (13), (14) and (15) we obtain the anomalous Euler equation of the vortex velocity field v

$$\partial_t(\rho v) + \partial_z\mathcal{T}_{z\bar z} + \partial_{\bar z}\mathcal{T} + \rho\partial_z(2p) = 0, \tag{18}$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{z\bar z} = \rho v\bar v + 16\eta^2\pi\sigma^2 + 4\eta^2\sigma\partial_{\bar z}(\rho^{-1}\partial_z\sigma)$ and $\mathcal{T} = \rho vv + 4\eta^2\sigma\partial_z(\rho^{-1}\partial_z\sigma) - 4\eta i\sigma\partial_z v$. Note that Eq. (18) does not explicitly contain w and hence Eqs. (8) (17) and (18) form a complete set of equations fully describing the binary vortex fluid. In Cartesian coordinates Eq. (18) becomes

$$\partial_t(\rho v_a) + \partial_b\mathcal{T}_{ab} + \rho\partial_a p = 0, \tag{19}$$

and the momentum flux tensor [47] takes the form $\mathcal{T}_{ab} = \rho v_a v_b - \Pi_{ab}$, with Cauchy stress tensor

$$\Pi_{ab} = -\sigma\tau_{ab} - 8\eta^2\pi\sigma^2\delta_{ab} - \eta^2\sigma\partial_b(\rho^{-1}\partial_a\sigma). \tag{20}$$

The *anomalous stress*

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{xy} &= \tau_{yx} = \eta(\partial_x v_x - \partial_y v_y),\\ \tau_{xx} &= -\tau_{yy} = -\eta(\partial_x v_y + \partial_y v_x),\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

is formally identical to that of the chiral vortex fluid [32] and does not cause energy dissipation. Although there is no frictional viscosity in the binary vortex fluid, the shear stress ($\Pi_{ab} \neq 0$ for $a \neq b$) is non-vanishing, induced by gradients of ρ and σ and the anomalous stress τ_{ab}. A similar situation can be found in the GPE when quantum pressure is important [48]. The anomalous stress τ_{ab} vanishes in uniform rotation with angular velocity Ω, where $v = -\Omega i\bar z$.

A conspicuous feature of the Cauchy stress tensor Π_{ab} for the binary vortex fluid is that it is asymmetric, with non-trivial commutator due to the divergence of the velocity v

$$\Pi_{xy} - \Pi_{yx} = -\sigma\eta\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}. \tag{22}$$

Note that under the transformation $x \leftrightarrow y$, the velocity $v_x \to -v_y$ and $v_y \to -v_x$, and hence $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} \to -\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}$. The local mechanical pressure of the vortex fluid is given by the normal stress:

$$p_m = -\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(\Pi_{ab}) = 8\eta^2\pi\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2}\eta^2\sigma\nabla\cdot(\rho^{-1}\nabla\sigma). \tag{23}$$

When $\sigma = \rho$, Π_{ab} is symmetric and p_m reduces to chiral form [32].

Angular Momentum.— The canonical angular momentum of the discrete point vortex system which is associated with the rotational symmetry reads $L^{\text{c}} \equiv \sum_i^N \mathbf{r}_i\times\mathbf{p}_i = -\pi\gamma\sum_i\sigma_i r_i^2$ and it is equivalent to the fluid angular momentum $L_{\text{f}} = \int d^2\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{u} = -1/2\int d^2\mathbf{r}r^2\omega$ [49]. L^{c} is conserved as long as the system has rotational symmetry. We can also consider the orbital angular momentum (OAM) of vortices $L^{\text{v}} \equiv \sum_i^N \mathbf{r}_i\times\mathbf{v}_i$. Interestingly for $N > 2$, L^{v} is *not* conserved regardless of the symmetry, namely $dL^{\text{v}}/dt \neq 0$ (see Supplementary Material). However for a chiral vortex system ($\sigma_i = 1$), $L^{\text{v}} = 2\eta N(N-1)$. The conserved OAM of the binary point vortex system can be constructed by considering the sign weighted orbital angular momentum $L^{\text{w}} \equiv \sum_i^N \mathbf{r}_i\times(\sigma_i\mathbf{v}_i) = 2\eta[(\sum_i\sigma_i)^2 - N]$. In the chiral case, $L^{\text{w}} = L^{\text{v}}$; for the neutral vortex system $L^{\text{w}} = -2\eta N$.

In terms of hydrodynamic fields v and w, $L^{\text{v}} = \int d^2\mathbf{r}\mathcal{L}^{\text{v}}$ with $\mathcal{L}^{\text{v}} = \mathbf{r}\times(\rho\mathbf{v})$ and $L^{\text{w}} = \int d^2\mathbf{r}\mathcal{L}^{\text{w}}$ with $\mathcal{L}^{\text{w}} = \mathbf{r}\times(\rho\mathbf{w})$. The Cartesian components of the OAM density are $\mathcal{L}^{\text{v}}_{ab} = \rho(x_a v_b - x_b v_a)$. Using Eq. (19), we obtain the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}^{\text{v}}_{ab}}{\partial t} + \partial_c\mathcal{M}_{abc} = \Pi_{ba} - \Pi_{ab}, \tag{24}$$

with angular momentum flux tensor $\mathcal{M}_{abc} = x_a\mathcal{T}_{bc} - x_b\mathcal{T}_{ac}$. Eq. (24) indicates that the OAM is conserved if and only if $\Pi_{ab} = \Pi_{ba}$. Hence the OAM of the binary vortex fluid is not conserved, consistent with the property of the corresponding discrete point-vortex system. The chiral vortex fluid is incompressible, and consistently the OAM is conserved since $\Pi_{xy} - \Pi_{yx} = -\eta\sigma\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} = 0$. The divergence $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}$ is a source

term in Eq. (24), and η can be seen as a *rotational* viscous coefficient mediating the exchange between vortex fluid OAM and vortex density waves.

Vortex Density Waves.— Compressibility supports vortex density waves. We consider a small variation of the velocity δv and the small changes in density $\delta\rho$ and $\delta\sigma$ on top of the static state $\rho = \rho_0$, $v = 0$, and $\sigma = \hat{\sigma}$ with $\partial_z\hat{\sigma} \neq 0$ [a static solution of Eq. (18)]. Here we assume $\partial_z\hat{\sigma}$ to be a constant and for simplicity we only consider non-polarized perturbations ($\delta\sigma = 0$). Due to the direct connection between the divergence of v and ρ [Eq. (8)], to leading order in perturbations the use of Eq. (15) leads to a first order differential equation of density waves

$$\partial_t\delta\rho + \eta\rho_0^{-1}\nabla\delta\rho\times\nabla\hat{\sigma} = 0. \tag{25}$$

Substituting a plane wave ansatz $\delta\rho = A\exp i(\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{k} - \omega_\rho t)$ into Eq. (25), we obtain the dispersion relation $\omega_\rho = \eta\rho_0^{-1}(\partial_y\hat{\sigma}k_x - \partial_x\hat{\sigma}k_y)$, and the two group velocities $c_\rho^x = |\partial\omega_\rho/\partial k_x| = \eta\rho_0^{-1}|\partial_y\hat{\sigma}|$ and $c_\rho^y = |\partial\omega_\rho/\partial k_y| = \eta\rho_0^{-1}|\partial_x\hat{\sigma}|$. Anisotropic vortex density waves are supported if the vortex charge density is anisotropic, with speeds set by the vortex charge-density gradients.

Vortex Fluid Hamiltonian.— Since $\sigma_i v_i \propto dp_i/dt$ and $\sum_i\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i)\partial\mathcal{H}/\partial z_i = \sum_i\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i)\sigma_i v_i$, the vortex charge velocity w satisfies the canonical equation $\rho w = i/\pi\gamma[\sigma\partial_z(\delta\mathcal{H}[\rho,\sigma]/\delta\sigma) + \rho\partial_z(\delta\mathcal{H}[\rho,\sigma]/\delta\rho)]$, which gives the Hamiltonian of the binary vortex fluid [50]

$$\mathcal{H}[\rho,\sigma] = H[\sigma] - 8\pi\eta^2\int d^2\mathbf{r}\rho\log(\ell^2\rho). \tag{26}$$

Here $H[\sigma]$ is the fluid Hamiltonian Eq. (3), and the second term is the self-energy of vortices [51]. The Hamiltonian Eq. (26) is the hydrodynamic formulation to the discrete point-vortex Hamiltonian Eq. (6). It can be decomposed as $\mathcal{H}[\rho,\sigma] = H_{\rm kin} + H_{\rm int} + H_{\rm so}$, with vortex fluid kinetic energy $H_{\rm kin} = 1/2\int d^2\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{v}|^2$, "internal energy" $H_{\rm int} = \eta^2/2\int d^2\mathbf{r}(\rho^{-2}|\nabla\sigma|^2 - 16\pi\rho\log\rho)$ and a "spin-orbit coupling" term $H_{\rm so} = -\eta\int d^2\mathbf{r}\rho^{-1}\mathbf{v}\cdot(\hat{z}\times\nabla\sigma)$ that couples vortex distribution energy to vortex fluid kinetic energy.

Dissipation.— So far we treat the vortex fluid as an isolated subsystem of an incompressible superfluid. Dissipation effects due to compressibility of the superfluid and the thermal friction can be restored by considering a dissipative point-vortex model [52–55] of the form

$$\frac{d\bar{z}_i}{dt} = v_i + i\eta^*\sigma_i v_i, \tag{27}$$

where the dimensionless dissipation rate η^* measures energy damping, occurring in the damped GPE [6] due to Bose-stimulated inelastic collisions between the condensate and a non-condensed thermal cloud. The term also gives a phenomenological description of vortex-sound interactions. Course-graining Eq. (27), the continuity equations become coupled

$$\partial_t\rho + \nabla\cdot\mathbf{J}_n' = 0, \quad \partial_t\sigma + \nabla\cdot\mathbf{J}_c' = 0, \tag{28}$$

where $\mathbf{J}_n' = \mathbf{J}_n - \eta^*\hat{\mathbf{z}}\times\mathbf{J}_c$, and $\mathbf{J}_c' = \mathbf{J}_c - \eta^*\hat{\mathbf{z}}\times\mathbf{J}_n$, showing that vortex number and charge are still conserved in the presence of damping. The dissipative Helmholtz equation is

$$\mathcal{D}_t^{\hat{v}}\sigma = -\eta^*\left(8\pi\eta\rho\sigma + \eta\nabla^2\sigma - \mathbf{v}\times\nabla\rho\right), \tag{29}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{v} - \eta^*\eta\nabla\log\rho$ is the deflected vortex fluid velocity in the presence of damping. The term $-8\pi\eta\eta^*\rho\sigma$ describes damping; the negative sign in front of the diffusion term enforces uphill diffusion of σ. This anomalous term concentrates vorticity and may contribute to inverse energy cascades and vortex clustering processes [9, 30]. The term $\eta^*\mathbf{v}\times\nabla\rho$ describes the transverse damping force stemming from Eq. (27). In the presence of dissipation, Eq. (19) turns into

$$\rho\mathcal{D}_t^v v_a - \partial_b\hat{\Pi}_{ab} + \rho\partial_a\hat{p} = -8\eta\eta^*\pi\rho^2 v_a + F_a. \tag{30}$$

The modified Cauchy stress tensor $\hat{\Pi}_{ab} = \Pi_{ab} + \Pi'_{ab}$ with the anomalous viscous stress tensor

$$\Pi'_{ab} = \eta\eta^*(2\delta_{ab} - 1)\Gamma_{aa'}\Gamma_{bb'}\left[\partial_{a'}(\rho v_{b'}) + \partial_{b'}(\rho v_{a'})\right], \tag{31}$$

having an unusual form. Here $\Gamma_{aa} = 0$ and $\Gamma_{a\neq b} = 1$. The modified pressure $\hat{p} = p - 2\eta\eta^*(g+\bar{g})$, and g is determined by $\partial_{\bar{z}}g = \pi\rho\bar{v}$. Here $F_a = -\eta\eta^*\rho^{-1}\epsilon_{ab}\partial_b\sigma\epsilon_{cd}\partial_c(\rho w_d)$ is an anomalous damping force, where ϵ_{ab} is the anti-symmetric tensor ($\epsilon_{xy} = 1$). The coefficient $\eta\eta^*$ is identified as the viscosity of the vortex fluid, and since $(1/2)\mathrm{tr}(\Pi'_{ab}) = \eta\eta^*\nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{v})$ it is also a form of *second viscosity* [47, 56], and will be important where vortex density varies significantly.

Vortex-antivortex annihilations could be accounted for by adding an additional term proportional to the number density of vortex-antivortex pairs within the core size to the vortex number continuity equation [57]. Including this term is beyond the scope of this hydrodynamic theory, requiring knowledge of vortex kinetics on the scale of the core size ξ. The details are regime dependent, differing between the dipole gas [58–60], vortex plasma [16, 35, 61–63], and the vortex clustered regimes [14, 35, 64].

Conclusion.— We establish a hydrodynamic theory of a system containing dense while well-separated vortices and anti-vortices in two dimensions. The theory reveals a number of emergent physical properties of the vortex fluid including compressibility and asymmetric Cauchy stress tensor. Dissipative effects are captured by an effective damping rate, coefficients of viscosity, and an anomalous viscous stress. Emergent collective behaviour can already be seen for a superfluid containing $10^2 \sim 10^3$ well-separated quantum vortices [31, 36], suggesting that observation of anomalous vortex fluid dynamics may be nearly within reach of current atomic condensate experiments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge M. T. Reeves, B. P. Anderson, L. A. Toikka, J. M. Floryan, and P. B. Blakie and for useful discussions. ASB is supported by a Rutherford Discovery Fellowship administered the Royal Society of New Zealand.

* xiaoquan.yu@otago.ac.nz
† ashton.bradley@otago.ac.nz

[1] J. M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless, Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics **5**, L124 (1972).
[2] J. M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless, Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics **6**, 1181 (1973).
[3] Vortex bending is significantly suppressed, and the system is effectively two-dimensional.
[4] R. Numasato, M. Tsubota, and V. S. L'vov, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 063630 (2010).
[5] M. T. Reeves, B. P. Anderson, and A. S. Bradley, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 053621 (2012).
[6] A. S. Bradley and B. P. Anderson, Phys. Rev. X **2**, 041001 (2012).
[7] M. T. Reeves, T. P. Billam, B. P. Anderson, and A. S. Bradley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 104501 (2013).
[8] T. P. Billam, M. T. Reeves, B. P. Anderson, and A. S. Bradley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 145301 (2014).
[9] T. P. Billam, M. T. Reeves, and A. S. Bradley, Phys. Rev. A **91**, 023615 (2015).
[10] A. Skaugen and L. Angheluta, Phys. Rev. E **93**, 032106 (2016).
[11] A. Lucas and P. Surówka, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 053617 (2014).
[12] G. W. Stagg, A. J. Allen, N. G. Parker, and C. F. Barenghi, Phys. Rev. A **91**, 013612 (2015).
[13] P. M. Chesler, H. Liu, and A. Adams, Science **341**, 368 (2013).
[14] T. W. Neely, E. C. Samson, A. S. Bradley, M. J. Davis, and B. P. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 160401 (2010).
[15] T. W. Neely, A. S. Bradley, E. C. Samson, S. J. Rooney, E. M. Wright, K. J. H. Law, R. Carretero-González, P. G. Kevrekidis, M. J. Davis, and B. P. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 235301 (2013).
[16] W. J. Kwon, G. Moon, J.-y. Choi, S. W. Seo, and Y.-i. Shin, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 063627 (2014).
[17] A. Bray, Advances in Physics **43**, 357 (1994).
[18] M. Mondello and N. Goldenfeld, Phys. Rev. A **42**, 5865 (1990).
[19] L. Chomaz, L. Corman, T. Bienaimé, R. Desbuquois, C. Weitenberg, S. Nascimbène, J. Beugnon, and J. Dalibard, Nature communications **6** (2015).
[20] G. Gauthier, I. Lenton, N. M. Parry, M. Baker, M. J. Davis, H. Rubinsztein-Dunlop, and T. W. Neely, Optica **3**, 1136 (2016).
[21] S. W. Seo, B. Ko, J. H. Kim, and Y. i. Shin, (2016), arXiv:1610.06635.
[22] L. Onsager, Il Nuovo Cimento (1943-1954) **6**, 279 (1949).
[23] G. L. Eyink and K. R. Sreenivasan, Rev. Mod. Phys. **78**, 87 (2006).
[24] E. Novikov, Zhurnal Eksperimentalnoi i Teoreticheskoi Fiziki **68**, 1868 (1975).
[25] H. Aref, P. Boyland, M. Stremler, and D. Vainchtein, in *Fundamental Problematic Issues in Turbulence* (Springer, 1999) pp. 151–161.
[26] A. L. Fetter, Phys. Rev. **162**, 143 (1967).
[27] F. D. M. Haldane and Y.-S. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 2887 (1985).
[28] R. Numasato and M. Tsubota, Journal of Low Temperature Physics **158**, 415 (2009).
[29] E. D. Siggia and H. Aref, Physics of Fluids (1958-1988) **24**, 171 (1981).
[30] A. Skaugen and L. Angheluta, (2017), arXiv:1610.04382.
[31] M. T. Reeves, T. P. Billam, X. Yu, and A. S. Bradley, (2017), arXiv:1702.04445.
[32] P. Wiegmann and A. G. Abanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 034501 (2014).
[33] G. Joyce and D. Montgomery, Journal of Plasma Physics **10**, 107 (1973).
[34] D. Montgomery and G. Joyce, Physics of Fluids **17** (1974).
[35] T. Simula, M. J. Davis, and K. Helmerson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 165302 (2014).
[36] X. Yu, T. P. Billam, J. Nian, M. T. Reeves, and A. S. Bradley, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 023602 (2016).
[37] R. A. Smith, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 1479 (1989).
[38] R. A. Smith and T. M. O?Neil, Physics of Fluids B **2** (1990).
[39] P. B. Wiegmann, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 241305 (2013).
[40] We assume that the flow vanishes at the boundaries.
[41] In this regime the Mach number $M \equiv |\mathbf{u}|/c \ll 1$, where c is the speed of sound.
[42] L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein Condensation and Superfluidity*, Vol. 164 (Oxford University Press, 2016).
[43] A. J. Chorin, *Vorticity and turbulence*, Vol. 103 (Springer Science & Business Media, 1994).
[44] C. Marchioro and M. Pulvirenti, *Mathematical theory of incompressible nonviscous fluids*, Vol. 96 (Springer Science & Business Media, 2012).
[45] G. Kirchoff, *Lectures on Mathematical Physics, Mechanics* (Teubner, Leipzig, 1877).
[46] For instance one may define $J_c = \sigma w'$ and w' is ill-defined when $\sigma = 0$.
[47] L. Landau and E. Lifshitz, *Fluid mechanics: Landau and Lifshitz: course of theoretical physics*, Vol. 6 (Elsevier, 2013).
[48] T. Winiecki, B. Jackson, J. F. McCann, and C. S. Adams, Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics **33**, 4069 (2000).
[49] If the fluid is confined in to a disc with radius R, then the fluid angular momentum L_f is conserved and the boundary term $(1/2)\oint d\mathbf{l}\cdot\mathbf{u}r^2 = (1/2)R^2\oint d\mathbf{l}\cdot\mathbf{u}$ is also conserved.
[50] Note that the vortex velocity field v is not a canonical variable and hence it does not have a simple canonical relation to the vortex fluid Hamiltonian.
[51] Plugging $\rho = \sum_j \delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_j)$ into the last term of Eq. (26), we obtain $-8\pi\eta^2\int d^2\mathbf{r}\rho\log(\ell^2\rho) = -(1/2)\pi\gamma^2\sum_i \log[\ell^2\sum_j\delta(\mathbf{r}_i-\mathbf{r}_j)] \simeq -(N/2)\pi\gamma^2\log(\ell^2/\xi^2) = N\pi\gamma^2\log(\xi/\ell) = E_{\rm self}$.
[52] S. Rica and E. Tirapegui, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 878 (1990).
[53] O. Törnkvist and E. Schröder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1908 (1997).
[54] T. P. Billam, M. T. Reeves, and A. S. Bradley, Phys. Rev. A **91**, 023615 (2015).
[55] G. Moon, W. J. Kwon, H. Lee, and Y.-i. Shin, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 051601 (2015).
[56] S. M. Karim and L. Rosenhead, Rev. Mod. Phys. **24**, 108 (1952).
[57] The vortex charge continuity equation is unchanged in the presence of vortex-antivortex annihilations. Here the drifting-out process is irrelevant.
[58] V. Ambegaokar, B. I. Halperin, D. R. Nelson, and E. D. Siggia, Phys. Rev. B **21**, 1806 (1980).
[59] J. Schole, B. Nowak, and T. Gasenzer, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 013624 (2012).
[60] A. Forrester, H.-C. Chu, and G. A. Williams, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 165303 (2013).
[61] C. Sire and P.-H. Chavanis, Phys. Rev. E **61**, 6644 (2000).
[62] V. Yakhot and J. Wanderer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 154502 (2004).
[63] G. F. Carnevale, J. C. McWilliams, Y. Pomeau, J. B. Weiss, and W. R. Young, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 2735 (1991).
[64] M. Karl and T. Gasenzer, (2016), arXiv:1611.01163
.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

HYDRODYNAMIC VELOCITIES

We first demonstrate Eq. (11) in the main manuscript. Combining Eq. (7) and Eq. (10) in the main manuscript, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\rho w &= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i)(\sigma_i v_i)\\ &= \frac{1}{\pi}\partial_{\bar z}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\sigma_i}{z-z_i}\sum_{j,j\neq i}\frac{-i\gamma\sigma_j}{z_i-z_j}\\ &= \frac{-i\gamma}{2\pi}\partial_{\bar z}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\sigma_i}{z-z_i}\right)^2+\partial_z\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1}{z-z_i}\right]\\ &= \sigma u - 2\eta i\partial_z\rho, \end{aligned}\tag{32}$$

where $\eta=\gamma/4$. In the step we have used $\partial_{\bar z}(1/z)=\pi\delta(\mathbf{r})$ and $[\partial_z,\partial_{\bar z}](1/z)=0$.

Let us now consider the relation between the vortex velocity field v and the fluid velocity u. For this aim we introduce

$$\rho_+\equiv\sum_{i=1}^{N_+}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i^+)\quad\text{and}\quad\rho_-\equiv\sum_{i=1}^{N_-}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i^-),\tag{33}$$

where $\mathbf{r}_i^+$ is the position of a positive vortex and $\mathbf{r}_i^-$ is the position of an anti-vortex. It is easy to see that $\sigma=\rho_+-\rho_-$ and $\rho=\rho_++\rho_-$.

The fluid velocity has a similar decomposition:

$$u=-\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{i\gamma\sigma_i}{z-z_i(t)}=u^++u^-,\tag{34}$$

where

$$u^+=-\sum_{i=1}^{N_+}\frac{i\gamma\sigma_i^+}{z-z_i^+(t)}\quad\text{and}\quad u^-=-\sum_{i=1}^{N_-}\frac{i\gamma\sigma_i^-}{z-z_i^-(t)}\tag{35}$$

are the fluid velocity generated by vortices with positive circulation and the fluid velocity generated by vortices with negative circulation respectively. Here $\sigma_i^+=1$ and $\sigma_i^-=-1$.

We introduce the velocity of a vortex with positive (negative) circulation:

$$v_i^\pm\equiv-\sum_{j,j\neq i}^{N}\frac{i\gamma\sigma_j}{z_i^\pm(t)-z_j(t)},\tag{36}$$

Hence

$$\rho v=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i)v_i=\frac{1}{\pi}\partial_{\bar z}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{v_i}{z-z_i}=\mathcal{I}_++\mathcal{I}_-\tag{37}$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_+=\frac{1}{\pi}\partial_{\bar z}\sum_{i=1}^{N_+}\frac{v_i^+}{z-z_i^+}\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{I}_-=\frac{1}{\pi}\partial_{\bar z}\sum_{i=1}^{N_-}\frac{v_i^-}{z-z_i^-}.\tag{38}$$

We can decompose $\mathcal{I}_+$ into

$$\mathcal{I}_+=\mathcal{I}_+^++\mathcal{I}_+^-,\tag{39}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_+^+&=\frac{1}{\pi}\partial_{\bar z}\sum_{i=1}^{N_+}\frac{1}{z-z_i^+}\sum_{j,j\neq i}^{N_+}\frac{-i\gamma\sigma_j^+}{z_i^+-z_j^+}\\ &=\frac{-i\gamma}{2\pi}\partial_{\bar z}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_+}\frac{1}{z-z_i^+}\right)^2+\partial_z\sum_{i=1}^{N_+}\frac{1}{z-z_i^+}\right]\\ &=\rho_+u^+-\frac{i\gamma}{2}\partial_z\rho_+,\end{aligned}\tag{40}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_+^-&=\frac{1}{\pi}\partial_{\bar z}\sum_{i=1}^{N_+}\frac{1}{z-z_i^+}\sum_{j=1}^{N_-}\frac{-i\gamma\sigma_j^-}{z_i^+-z_j^-}\\ &=\sum_{i=1}^{N_+}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i^+)u^-(z_i^+)\\ &=\rho_+u^-.\end{aligned}\tag{41}$$

In order to see the last step of Eq. (41) is true, let us integrate Eq. (41) over an arbitrary region Ω which contains M positive vortices. On one hand, we obtain

$$\int_\Omega d^2\mathbf{r}\sum_{i=1}^{N_+}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i^+)u^-(z_i^+)=\sum_{i=1}^{M}u^-(z_i^+).\tag{42}$$

On the other hand side, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega d^2\mathbf{r}\rho_+u^-&=\sum_{j=1}^{N_-}\int_\Omega d^2\mathbf{r}\sum_{i=1}^{N_+}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i^+)\frac{-i\sigma_j^-}{z-z_j^-}\\ &=\sum_{i=1}^{M}u^-(z_i^+).\end{aligned}\tag{43}$$

We then prove that Eq. (41) is correct.

Similarly for $\mathcal{I}_-$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_-&=\frac{1}{\pi}\partial_{\bar z}\sum_{i=1}^{N_-}\frac{1}{z-z_i^-}\sum_{j,j\neq i}^{N_+}\frac{-i\gamma\sigma_j^+}{z_i^--z_j^+}\\ &+\frac{1}{\pi}\partial_{\bar z}\sum_{i=1}^{N_-}\frac{1}{z-z_i^-}\sum_{j,j\neq i}^{N_-}\frac{-i\gamma\sigma_j^-}{z_i^--z_j^-}\\ &=\rho_-u^-+\frac{i\gamma}{2}\partial_z\rho_-+\rho_-u^+.\end{aligned}\tag{44}$$

Collecting all the terms above we finally obtain the relation Eq. (12) in the main manuscript:

$$\rho v=\rho u-2i\eta\partial_z\sigma.\tag{45}$$

ORBITAL ANGULAR MOMENTUM OF POINT VORTEX SYSTEMS

We show that the orbital angular momentum is not conserved for a binary vortex system with $N = 3$.

Firstly, let us consider $N = 2$ with $\sigma_1 = -\sigma_2 = 1$. The velocities of the two vortices read

$$\frac{d\bar{z}_1}{dt} = v_1 = \frac{i\gamma}{z_1 - z_2}, \quad \frac{d\bar{z}_2}{dt} = v_2 = -\frac{i\gamma}{z_2 - z_1} = v_1. \tag{46}$$

The orbital angular momentum of the two opposite vortices is

$$L^{\mathrm{v}} = \frac{1}{2i}\sum_j (\bar{z}_j \bar{v}_j - z_j v_j) = -2\eta\left(\frac{\bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2}{\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2} + \frac{z_1 + z_2}{z_1 - z_2}\right), \tag{47}$$

and then

$$\frac{dL^{\mathrm{v}}}{dt} = -\eta^2\left(\frac{i}{|z_1 - z_2|^2} + \mathrm{h.c.}\right) = 0. \tag{48}$$

We now consider $N = 3$ with $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = -\sigma_3 = 1$, and then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dL^{\mathrm{v}}}{dt} &= \frac{1}{2i}\sum_j \left(\frac{d\bar{z}_j}{dt}\bar{v}_j + \frac{d\bar{v}_j}{dt}\bar{z}_j - \mathrm{h.c.}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2i}\sum_j \left(|v_j|^2 + \frac{d\bar{v}_j}{dt}\bar{z}_j - \mathrm{h.c.}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2i}\sum_j \left(\frac{d\bar{v}_j}{dt}\bar{z}_j - \mathrm{h.c.}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

It is straightforward to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{d\bar{v}_j}{dt}\bar{z}_j = -\gamma^2\Bigg[&\frac{(\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2)(z_1 - z_2)}{(\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2)^2(z_2 - z_3)(z_1 - z_3)} \\ &+ \frac{(\bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_3)(z_1 - z_3)}{(\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_3)^2(z_3 - z_2)(z_1 - z_2)} + \\ &\frac{(\bar{z}_2 + \bar{z}_3)(z_2 - z_3)}{(\bar{z}_2 - \bar{z}_3)^2(z_3 - z_1)(z_2 - z_1)}\Bigg],\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

and in general

$$\mathrm{Im}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{d\bar{v}_j}{dt}\bar{z}_j\right) \neq 0. \tag{51}$$

For example for $z_1 = 1$, $z_2 = 2 + i$ and $z_3 = 1 + 2i$, $\mathrm{Im}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{d\bar{v}_j}{dt}\bar{z}_j\right) = 1$. Therefore, in general

$$\frac{dL^{\mathrm{v}}}{dt} \neq 0. \tag{52}$$

Hence it is expected that Eq. (52) holds for a binary point vortex system with $N > 3$. This is consistent with the corresponding coarse-grained vortex flow, where the Cauchy stress tensor is asymmetric.